

CITY PROFILES : Community Garden Data 1996 (from 38 US Cities)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1		Albany	Austin	Boston*	Cheshire	Cincinnati*	Columbia	Davis*		PAGE TOTALS
2	Number and Type of Community Garden									
3	Neighborhood Garden	13	8	120	1	17	3	1		163
4	Public Housing Garden			7		2	1			10
5	Job/Economic Develop/CSA Garden		2	2						4
6	School Garden		19	7		7	2	4		39
7	Senior Ctr/Housing Garden		3	1		3				7
8	Large Farm type Garden		1	10			3	2		16
9	Mental Health or Rehab Garden		3	1			7			11
10	Other Type					5		2		7
11	TOTAL EXISTING	13	36	148	1	34	16	9		257
12	Sites on Private Land	8	5	82.5		10	8	1		114.5
13	Site Permanency									
14	Site Permanency an Issue?	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes		
15	Gardens more than 10 years old	5	3	100	0	10	8	5		131
16	Gardens that are a land trust		1	60						61
17	Sites lost to public agencies	1		1.33		1				3.33
18	Sites lost to private owners	1					2			3
19	Sites lost to lack of interest			10			2			12
20	Sites lost to other reason									0
21	TOTAL LOST in past 5 years	2	10	11.3	0	1	4	0		28.3
22	Gardens to add in next 5 years	6.5	5	7.5	0	25	5	0		49
23	Gardens to lose in next 5 years	1.5	2	7.5	0	0	1.5	0		12.5
24	TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN	18	39	148	1	59	19.5	9		293.5
25	Anticipated percent change	38.5	8.3	0	0	73.5	21.9	0		20.31
26	Percent Change in Past 5 years	23	38.9	4	0	29	12.5	0		15.34
27	Garden Creation									
28	New gardens in past 5 years	5	24	17.5	1	11	6	1		65.5
29	Establishment rate for new sites	Inc	Inc	Same	Inc	Inc	Same	Same		0
30	Initiated by gardeners themselves %	75	10	95	100	53	13	100		63.71
31	Initiated by outsiders/agencies %	25	90	5		47	87			50.8

Definitions and Notes

An asterisk "*" by the names means the city was also included in the 1992 survey. ~ The "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN" section reflects the current number of gardens, adding the anticipated number of gardens to add, minus the forecasted gardens to lose. ~ The "Anticipated per cent Change" line is the % difference between the "TOTAL EXISTING" now, and the "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN." ~ The "per cent Change in Past 5 years" is the rate of change of additions and losses in the past five years, compared with the current total existing. ~ "NR" means the number was not reported. Blanks spaces signify that there were no numbers reported or the number was zero. ~ "IS" means look at the individual city sheet to see a more detailed explanation. ~ Where respondents gave a range of numbers for an answer, e.g., "Numbers of gardens to add in the next five years: 2-4," an average, 3, was calculated for ease of tabulated percentages. ~ +Spokane is a unique case, where most of the city's gardens are home side gardens or kitchen gardens. The number was included in the research because it is the result of a greening effort of the city.

CITY PROFILES : Community Garden Data 1996 (from 38 US Cities)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
32		Dayton*	Denver*	Duluth	Durham	Grand Rapids	Houston	Idaho Falls		PAGE TOTALS
33	Number and Type of Community Garden									
34	Neighborhood Garden	18	42	11	3	7	2	1		84
35	Public Housing Garden	2				2	1			5
36	Job/Economic Develop/CSA Garden	1	1				1			3
37	School Garden	1	10			1	24			36
38	Senior Ctr/Housing Garden				1		11			12
39	Large Farm type Garden	2	1	2			2			7
40	Mental Health or Rehab Garden	1					3			4
41	Other Type	1		2		2	37			42
42	TOTAL EXISTING	26	54	15	4	12	81	1		193
43	Sites on Private Land	17	22	8	2	8	10	1		68
44	Site Permanency									
45	Site Permanency an Issue?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		
46	Gardens more than 10 years old	2	3	11	1	0	0	0		17
47	Gardens that are a land trust	2	6							8
48	Sites lost to public agencies		1			4	1			6
49	Sites lost to private owners	2	3	1			1			7
50	Sites lost to lack of interest	2	1			15	10			28
51	Sites lost to other reason			2		5				7
52	TOTAL LOST in past 5 years	4	5	3	0	24	12			48
53	Gardens to add in next 5 years	3	50	2.5	4	20	60	2		141.5
54	Gardens to lose in next 5 years	0	2	0	0	6.5	5	0		13.5
55	TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN	29	102	17.5	8	25.5	136	3		321
56	Anticipated Percent Change	11.5	87.3	16.7	100	112.5	67.9	200		85.13
57	Percent Change in Past 5 years	38.5	64.8	-6.6	50	-50	65.4	100		37.44
58	Garden Creation									
59	New gardens in past 5 years	14	40	2	2	^30	65	1		124
60	Establishment rate for new sites	Same	Inc	NR	Inc	Inc	Inc	Inc		
61	Initiated by gardeners themselves %	100	25	10	25	25	100	100		55
62	Initiated by outsiders/agencies %		75	90	75	75				78.75

Definitions and Notes

An asterisk "*" by the names means the city was also included in the 1992 survey. ~ The "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN" section reflects the current number of gardens, adding the anticipated number of gardens to add, minus the forecasted gardens to lose. ~ The "Anticipated per cent Change" line is the % difference between the "TOTAL EXISTING" now, and the "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN." ~ The "per cent Change in Past 5 years" is the rate of change of additions and losses in the past five years, compared with the current total existing. ~ "NR" means the number was not reported. Blanks spaces signify that there were no numbers reported or the number was zero. ~ "IS" means look at the individual city sheet to see a more detailed explanation. ~ Where respondents gave a range of numbers for an answer, e.g., "Numbers of gardens to add in the next five years: 2-4," an average, 3, was calculated for ease of tabulated percentages. ~ +Spokane is a unique case, where most of the city's gardens are home side gardens or kitchen gardens. The number was included in the research because it is the result of a greening effort of the city.

CITY PROFILES : Community Garden Data 1996 (from 38 US Cities)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
63		Indianapolis*	Lansing*	Lubbock	Madison*	Manhattan, KS	Minneapolis*	Newark		PAGE TOTALS
64	Number and Type of Community Garden									
65	Neighborhood Garden	15	9	9	13		283	1278		1607
66	Public Housing Garden	1	3	2	4		6	10		26
67	Job/Economic Develop/CSA Garden						18			18
68	School Garden	10	1	6	3		213	18		251
69	Senior Ctr/Housing Garden		3		1		6	2		
70	Large Farm type Garden	4	2	1	3	1	5			16
71	Mental Health or Rehab Garden		2	1	1		5			9
72	Other Type	6	2	2	12	1		10		33
73	TOTAL EXISTING	36	24	21	37	2	536	1318		1974
74	Sites on Private Land	most	2	8	4	0	15	100		129
75	Site Permanency									
76	Site Permanency an Issue?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
77	Gardens more than 10 years old	2	7	0	14	2	39	500		564
78	Gardens that are a land trust	1			0		31			32
79	Sites lost to public agencies	2			1		7	11		21
80	Sites lost to private owners		3		1		12	1		17
81	Sites lost to lack of interest	1	3	2			8	2		16
82	Sites lost to other reason		4		1		2			7
83	TOTAL LOST in past 5 years	3	10	2	3	0	29	14		61
84	Gardens to add in next 5 years	25	0	12.5	3	0	250	65		355.5
85	Gardens to lose in next 5 years	10	0	5	5	0	125	10		155
86	TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN	51	24	28.5	35	2	661	1373		2174.5
87	Anticipated Percent Change	41.7	0	35.7	-5.4	0	23.3	4.1		14.2
88	Percent Change in Past 5 years	13.9	16.7	85.7	29.7	0	107	38		41.57
89	Garden Creation									
90	New gardens in past 5 years	8	14	20	14	0	SI	516		572
91	Establishment rate for new sites	Inc	Same	Inc	Dec	Same	Inc	Inc		0
92	Initiated by gardeners themselves %	50	NR	50	25	100	65	50		56.67
93	Initiated by outsiders/agencies %	50	NR	50	75		35	50		52

Definitions and Notes

An asterisk "*" by the names means the city was also included in the 1992 survey. ~ The "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN" section reflects the current number of gardens, adding the anticipated number of gardens to add, minus the forecasted gardens to lose. ~ The "Anticipated per cent Change" line is the % difference between the "TOTAL EXISTING" now, and the "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN." ~ The "per cent Change in Past 5 years" is the rate of change of additions and losses in the past five years, compared with the current total existing. ~ "NR" means the number was not reported. Blanks spaces signify that there were no numbers reported or the number was zero. ~ "IS" means look at the individual city sheet to see a more detailed explanation. ~ Where respondents gave a range of numbers for an answer, e.g., "Numbers of gardens to add in the next five years: 2-4," an average, 3, was calculated for ease of tabulated percentages. ~ +Spokane is a unique case, where most of the city's gardens are home side gardens or kitchen gardens. The number was included in the research because it is the result of a greening effort of the city.

CITY PROFILES : Community Garden Data 1996 (from 38 US Cities)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
94		New Orleans	New York*	Philadelphia	Pittsburgh*	Portland	San Francisco*	Santa Barbara		PAGE TOTALS
95	Number and Type of Community Garden									
96	Neighborhood Garden	43	869	1011.5	50	23	37	2		2035.5
97	Public Housing Garden		834	81	3		10			928
98	Job/Economic Develop/CSA Garden				1		3			4
99	School Garden		114		2		48			164
100	Senior Ctr/Housing Garden		26	12	2		10			50
101	Large Farm type Garden		3	5			2			10
102	Mental Health or Rehab Garden		34	18			8			60
103	Other Type		26	8	50		13	1		98
104	TOTAL EXISTING	43	1906	1135	108	23	131	3		3349
105	Sites on Private Land	16	70		17.5	7	6	0		116.5
106	Site Permanency									
107	Site Permanency an Issue?	No	Yes	Yes	Y-N	Yes	Yes	NR		
108	Gardens more than 10 years old	1	700	457	50	16	50	3		1277
109	Gardens that are a land trust		2	20						22
110	Sites lost to public agencies		60	NR	10					70
111	Sites lost to private owners	1	35	NR	10	1				47
112	Sites lost to lack of interest		175	NR	25					200
113	Sites lost to other reason		65	NR	5					70
114	TOTAL LOST in past 5 years	1	335	NR	50	1	0	0		387
115	Gardens to add in next 5 years	40	300	273	12.5	3	40	2.5		671
116	Gardens to lose in next 5 years	0	450	NR	17.5	1	1.5	0		470
117	TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN	83	1756	1408	103	25	169.5	5.5		3550
118	Anticipated Percent Change	93	-7.9	24.1	-4.6	8.7	29.8	83.3		32.34
119	Percent Change in Past 5 years	95.3	24.4	NR	-1.9	17.4	30.5	0		27.62
120	Garden Creation									
121	New gardens in past 5 years	42	800	273	48	5	40	0		1208
122	Establishment rate for new sites	Inc	NR	Inc	Dec	Same	Inc	Dec		0
123	Initiated by gardeners themselves %	100	98	95	100	75	80	97		92.14
124	Initiated by outsiders/agencies %		2	5		25	20	3		11

Definitions and Notes

An asterisk "*" by the names means the city was also included in the 1992 survey. ~ The "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN" section reflects the current number of gardens, adding the anticipated number of gardens to add, minus the forecasted gardens to lose. ~ The "Anticipated per cent Change" line is the % difference between the "TOTAL EXISTING" now, and the "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN." ~ The "per cent Change in Past 5 years" is the rate of change of additions and losses in the past five years, compared with the current total existing. ~ "NR" means the number was not reported. Blanks spaces signify that there were no numbers reported or the number was zero. ~ "IS" means look at the individual city sheet to see a more detailed explanation. ~ Where respondents gave a range of numbers for an answer, e.g., "Numbers of gardens to add in the next five years: 2-4," an average, 3, was calculated for ease of tabulated percentages. ~ +Spokane is a unique case, where most of the city's gardens are home side gardens or kitchen gardens. The number was included in the research because it is the result of a greening effort of the city.

CITY PROFILES : Community Garden Data 1996 (from 38 US Cities)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
125		Sarasota	Seattle*	Somerville	Spokane+	Springfield	Trenton*	Troy*		PAGE TOTALS
126	Number and Type of Community Garden									
127	Neighborhood Garden	2	35	6		1	60	13		117
128	Public Housing Garden		10	1	1	1				13
129	Job/Economic Develop/CSA Garden		1							1
130	School Garden	1		3		2				6
131	Senior Ctr/Housing Garden			1		1				2
132	Large Farm type Garden									0
133	Mental Health or Rehab Garden			2	2					4
134	Other Type			1	39			1		41
135	TOTAL EXISTING	3	46	14	42	5	60	14		184
136	Sites on Private Land	0	14	4	39	0	3	13		
137	Site Permanency									
138	Site Permanency an Issue?	Yes	Yes	NR	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
139	Gardens more than 10 years old	0	19	7	0	0	2	10		38
140	Gardens that are a land trust		0				54	7		61
141	Sites lost to public agencies		2				1			3
142	Sites lost to private owners		1	1				2		4
143	Sites lost to lack of interest				2	1	1			4
144	Sites lost to other reason									0
145	TOTAL LOST in past 5 years	0	3	1	2	1	2	2		11
146	Gardens to add in next 5 years	10	10	2	350	10	15	3.5		400.5
147	Gardens to lose in next 5 years	1	0	1	10	0	6	1.5		19.5
148	TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN	12	54	15	382	15	69	16		563
149	Anticipated Percent Change	300	22.7	7.2	872	200	15	14.3		204.46
150	Percent Change in Past 5 years	100	43.2	35.7	95.2	80	23.3	14.3		55.96
151	Garden Creation									
152	New gardens in past 5 years	3	22	6	42	5	16	4		98
153	Establishment rate for new sites	Inc	Inc	Inc	Inc	Inc	Inc	Dec		0
154	Initiated by gardeners themselves %	33	55	50	100					59.5
155	Initiated by outsiders/agencies %	77	45	50		100	100	100		78.67

Definitions and Notes

An asterisk "*" by the names means the city was also included in the 1992 survey. ~ The "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN" section reflects the current number of gardens, adding the anticipated number of gardens to add, minus the forecasted gardens to lose. ~ The "Anticipated per cent Change" line is the % difference between the "TOTAL EXISTING" now, and the "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN." ~ The "per cent Change in Past 5 years" is the rate of change of additions and losses in the past five years, compared with the current total existing. ~ "NR" means the number was not reported. Blanks spaces signify that there were no numbers reported or the number was zero. ~ "IS" means look at the individual city sheet to see a more detailed explanation. ~ Where respondents gave a range of numbers for an answer, e.g., "Numbers of gardens to add in the next five years: 2-4," an average, 3, was calculated for ease of tabulated percentages. ~ +Spokane is a unique case, where most of the city's gardens are home side gardens or kitchen gardens. The number was included in the research because it is the result of a greening effort of the city.

CITY PROFILES : Community Garden Data 1996 (from 38 US Cities)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
156		Tuscon	Washington, DC	Wilmington				PAGE TOTALS		SURVEY TOTALS
157	Number and Type of Community Garden									
158	Neighborhood Garden	5	40	9				54		4055
159	Public Housing Garden		2					2		983
160	Job/Economic Develop/CSA Garden		6					6		36
161	School Garden		3					3		496
162	Senior Ctr/Housing Garden		2	1				3		85
163	Large Farm type Garden		1					1		50
164	Mental Health or Rehab Garden			1				1		87
165	Other Type		4	4				8		228
166	TOTAL EXISTING	5	58	15				78		6021
167	Sites on Private Land	5	21	15				51		533
168	Site Permanency									
169	Site Permanency an Issue?	Yes	Yes	Yes						
170	Gardens more than 10 years old	0	26	1				18533		2047
171	Gardens that are a land trust							0		184
172	Sites lost to public agencies		3	1				4		107
173	Sites lost to private owners		1					1		78
174	Sites lost to lack of interest			3				3		263
175	Sites lost to other reason							0		84
176	TOTAL LOST in past 5 years	0	4	4				8		542
177	Gardens to add in next 5 years	NR	10	7.5				17.5		1634
178	Gardens to lose in next 5 years	0	5	2				7		677
179	TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN	5	63	20.5				88.5		2662
180	Anticipated Percent Change	0	8.6	36.7				22.65		63.18
181	Percent Change in Past 5 years	80	15.5	33.3				49.33		37.88
182	Garden Creation									
183	New gardens in past 5 years	4	13	9				26		2093
184	Establishment rate for new sites	Inc	NR	Inc						
185	Initiated by gardeners themselves %	20	90	100				70		66.17
186	Initiated by outsiders/agencies %	80	10					70		45.2

Definitions and Notes

An asterisk "*" by the names means the city was also included in the 1992 survey. ~ The "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN" section reflects the current number of gardens, adding the anticipated number of gardens to add, minus the forecasted gardens to lose. ~ The "Anticipated per cent Change" line is the % difference between the "TOTAL EXISTING" now, and the "TOTAL EXPECTED TO REMAIN." ~ The "per cent Change in Past 5 years" is the rate of change of additions and losses in the past five years, compared with the current total existing. ~ "NR" means the number was not reported. Blanks spaces signify that there were no numbers reported or the number was zero. ~ "IS" means look at the individual city sheet to see a more detailed explanation. ~ Where respondents gave a range of numbers for an answer, e.g., "Numbers of gardens to add in the next five years: 2-4," an average, 3, was calculated for ease of tabulated percentages. ~ +Spokane is a unique case, where most of the city's gardens are home side gardens or kitchen gardens. The number was included in the research because it is the result of a greening effort of the city.